

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	O. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/481,511	0	1/12/2000	Quinton Yves Zondervan	52817.000088 5719			
29315	7590	12/26/2002					
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC				EXAMINER			
SUITE 900					FERGUSON, KEITH		
RESTON, V	RESTON, VA 20190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2683			
				DATE MAILED: 12/26/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A)

		.						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary	09/481,511	ZONDERVAN, QUINTON YVES						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	20					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Keith T. Ferguson	2683	/\//					
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 C	<u> October 2002</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.							
 Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under L Disposition of Claims 			e merits is					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			er					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		Tod by the Examine						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, (1)						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.	ity documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National	Stage					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal (/ (PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PTC						

Application/Control Number: 09/481,511 Page 2

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Člaim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woltz et al. in view of Lazaridis et al., newly recited reference.

Regarding claims 1,5,7,15 and 19, Woltz et al. discloses an integrated message system (fig.1) comprising: at least two terminal devices (fig. 1 numbers 34 and 28 and its description and col. 3 lines 20-25) comprising a first terminal device (fig. 1 number 34) and a second terminal device (fig. 1 number 28) is a wireless terminal device (pager) (col. 3 lines 20-25); and at least one scripting agent (means) (host computer) (fig. 1 number 34 and col. 3 lines 32-42), wherein the scripting agent causes an electronic message (e-mail) that is addressed to the first terminal device to be transmitted to the wireless terminal when a bypass code is presented (a request) (col. 6 lines 49-66). Woltz et al. differs from claims 1,5,15 and 19 of the claimed

Art Unit: 2683

invention in that it do not disclose at least two terminal devices associated with a common user; and at least a scripting agent cause an electronic message that is addressed to the first terminal device to transmitted to the wireless terminal device upon a determination of substantially no user activity at the first terminal device. Lazaridis et al. teaches at least two terminal devices associated with a common user (fig. 1 number 10 and 24; col. 7 lines 24-25 and col. 7 lines 34-37); and at least on scripting agent (redirect software) (fig. 1 number 12) cause an electronic message (e-mail) (fig. 1 A) that is addressed to the first terminal (fig. 1 number 10) device to transmitted to the wireless terminal device (fig. 1 number 24) upon a determination of substantially no user activity at the first terminal device (col. 7 lines 24-64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Woltz et al. with at least two terminal devices associated with a common user; and at least on scripting agent cause an electronic message that is addressed to the first terminal device to transmitted to the wireless terminal device in order to tailor the receipt of an e-mail message at the users desktop computer to be forwarded to the users pager when the user is no longer in the vicinity of the desktop computer, as taught by Lazaridis et al..

Regarding claims 2,3,9,10,16,17 and 19, Woltz et al. discloses an integrated message system as discussed used supra in claim 1 above. Woltz et al. differs from claim 2,3,9,10,16,17 and 19 of the claimed invention in that it do not disclose a physical monitoring device to monitor the status (a level of activity at the first device or a determination of no substantially no user activity at the first terminal device) of the common user. Lazaridis et al. teaches a physical monitoring device (Microsoft Messaging API) (MAPI) (fig. 1 number 12) to monitor the status (a level of activity at the first device or a determination of no substantially no user activity at the first terminal device) of the common user (col. 7 lines 15-59). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Woltz et al. with a physical monitoring device to monitor the status (a level of activity at the first device or a determination of no substantially no user activity at the first terminal device) of the common user in order to tailor and redirect an incoming electronic message base on the common user preference, as taught by Lazaridis et al..

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/481,511 Page 4

Art Unit: 2683

Regarding claims 4,6,11,13,18 and 20, Woltz et al. discloses transmitting the electronic message (all or summary messages) to the wireless terminal device after the passage of a predetermined amount of time during which the electronic message has not been opened at the first terminal device (col. 5 lines 19-24).

Regarding claims 8 and 12, Woltz et al. discloses a method for managing communications between at least two terminal devices (fig. 1 numbers 34 and 28 and its description and col. 3 lines 20-25) comprising a first terminal device (fig. 1 number 34) and a second terminal device (fig. 1 number 28), wherein at least the second terminal is a wireless terminal device (pager) (col. 3 lines 20-25), the communication management method comprising the steps of: receiving an electronic message to the first terminal device (col. 3 lines 62-67 and col. 4 lines 1-5); and transmitting the electronic message to the wireless terminal device (col. 6 lines 49-66). Woltz et al. differs from claims 8 and 12 of the claimed invention in that it do not disclose at least two terminal devices associated with a common user; and transmitting the electronic message to the wireless terminal device upon a determination of a status (a determination of substantially no user activity at the first terminal device) of the common user at the first terminal device. Lazaridis et al. teaches at least two terminal devices associated with a common user (fig. 1 number 10 and 24; col. 7 lines 24-25 and col. 7 lines 34-37); and transmitting the electronic message to the wireless terminal device upon a determination of a status (a determination of substantially no user activity at the first terminal device) of the common user (user no longer in the vicinity of the first terminal device) at the first terminal device (col. 7 lines 24-25 and col. 7 lines 33-59). Therefore. it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Woltz et al. with at least two terminal devices associated with a common user; and transmitting the electronic message to the wireless terminal device upon a determination of a status of the common user at the first terminal device in order to tailor the receipt of an e-mail message at the users desktop computer to be forwarded to

Art Unit: 2683

the users pager when the user is no longer in the vicinity of the desktop computer, as taught by Lazaridis et al..

Page 5

Regarding claim 14, Woltz et al. discloses a computer usable medium having computer readable program code embodied therein for managing communications between at least two terminal devices (fig. 1 numbers 34 and 28 and its description and col. 3 lines 20-42), comprising a first terminal device (fig. 1 number 34) and a second terminal device (fig. 1 number 28), wherein at least the second terminal is a wireless terminal device (pager) (col. 3 lines 20-25), the computer readable code comprising: computer readable code for receiving an electronic message to the first terminal device (col. 3 lines 62-67 and col. 4 lines 1-5); and computer readable code for transmitting the electronic message to the wireless terminal device (col. 6 lines 49-66). Woltz et al. differs from claim 14 of the claimed invention in that it do not disclose at least two terminal devices associated with a common user; and transmitting the electronic message to the wireless terminal device upon a determination of a status of the common user at the first terminal device. Lazaridis et al. teaches at least two terminal devices associated with a common user (fig. 1 number 10 and 24; col. 7 lines 24-25 and col. 7 lines 34-37); and transmitting the

Art Unit: 2683

electronic message to the wireless terminal device upon a determination of a status of the common user (user no longer in the vicinity of the first terminal device) at the first terminal device (col. 7 lines 24-25 and col. 7 lines 33-59). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Woltz et al. with at least two terminal devices associated with a common user; and transmitting the electronic message to the wireless terminal device upon a determination of a status of the common user at the first terminal device in order to manage the receipt of an e-mail message at the users desktop computer to be forwarded to the users pager when the user is no longer in the vicinity of the desktop computer, as taught by Lazaridis et al..

Conclusion -

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS

Page 6

Art Unit: 2683

of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keith T. Ferguson whose telephone number is (703) 305-4888. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (703) 308-5318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Art Unit: 2683

Keith Ferguson Art Unit 2683
December 17, 2002

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 8